MAJOR ARTICLE



OXFORD

Current Epidemiology and Clinical Features of *Cryptococcus* Infection in Patients Without Human Immunodeficiency Virus: A Multicenter Study in 46 Hospitals in Australia and New Zealand

Julien Coussement,^{1,2,0} Christopher H. Heath,^{3,4,5} Matthew B. Roberts,^{6,7} Rebekah J. Lane,⁸ Tim Spelman,^{9,10,11,12} Olivia C. Smibert,¹³ Anthony Longhitano,¹⁴ Orla Morrissey,¹⁵ Blake Nield,¹⁶ Monica Tripathy,¹⁷ Joshua S. Davis,¹⁸ Karina J. Kennedy,¹⁹ Sarah A. Lynar,^{20,21} Lucy C. Crawford,²⁰ Simeon J. Crawford,²² Benjamin J. Smith,²³ Andrew P. Gador-Whyte,²⁴ Rose Haywood,²⁵ Andrew A. Mahony,²⁶ Julia C. Howard,²⁷ Genevieve B. Walls,²⁸ Gabrielle M. O'Kane,^{29,30} Matthew T. Broom,^{31,32} Caitlin L. Keighley,³³ Olivia Bupha-Intr,³⁴ Louise Cooley,³⁵ Jennifer A. O'Hern,^{20,36} Justin D. Jackson,³⁷ Arthur J. Morris,⁸ Caroline Bartolo,³⁸ Adrian R. Tramontana,^{39,40} Katherine C. Grimwade,^{41,42} Victor Au Yeung,⁴³ Roy Chean,⁴⁴ Emily Woolnough,⁴⁵ Benjamin W. Teh,^{1,2} Sharon C.-A. Chen,^{46,a} and Monica A. Slavin^{1,2,47,a}; on behalf of the Australian and New Zealand Study Group for Cryptococcosis in Patients Without HIV Infection^b

¹Department of Infectious Diseases, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ²Sir Peter MacCallum Department of Oncology, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ³Department of Microbiology, PathWest Laboratory Medicine, Fiona Stanley Hospital, Murdoch, Washington, Australia; ⁴Department of Infectious Diseases, Fiona Stanley Hospital, Murdoch, Western Australia, Australia, ⁵Department of Infectious Diseases, Royal Perth Hospital, Perth, Western Australia, Australia; ⁶Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, South Australia; Australia; ⁷Flinders Medical Centre, Bedford Park, South Australia, Australia; ⁸Te Toka Tumai, Auckland, New Zealand; ⁹Department of Health Services Research, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ¹⁰Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden; ¹¹Burnet Institute, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ¹²University of Melbourne Department of Surgery, St. Vincent's Hospital Melbourne, Fitzroy, Victoria, Australia; ¹³Austin Health, Heidelberg, Victoria, Australia; ¹⁴Monash Health, Clayton, Victoria, Australia; ¹⁵Department of Infectious Diseases, Alfred Health and Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ¹⁶Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Camperdown, New South Wales, Australia; ¹⁷Gold Coast Hospital and Health Service, Southport, Queensland, Australia; ¹⁸John Hunter Hospital, Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia; ¹⁹ACT Pathology, Canberra Health Services, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia; ²⁰Royal Darwin and Palmerston Hospitals, Darwin, Northern Territory, Australia; ²¹Menzies School of Health Research, Darwin, Northern Territory, Australia; ²²Wollongong Hospital, Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia; ²³Eastern Health, Box Hill, Victoria, Australia; ²⁴St. Vincent's Hospital Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ²⁵Prince of Wales Hospital, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia; ²⁶Bendigo Health, Bendigo, Victoria, Australia; ²⁷Te Whatu Ora Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand; ²⁸Middlemore Hospital, Te Whatu Ora Counties Manukau, Auckland, New Zealand; ²⁹Gosford Hospital, Gosford, New South Wales, Australia; ³⁰Wyong Hospital, Hamlyn Terrace, New South Wales, Australia; ³¹North Shore Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand; ³²Waitakere Hospital, Hospital, Australia; ³¹North Shore Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand; ³²Waitakere Hospital, Hospital, Australia; ³¹North Shore Hospital, Australia; ³¹Without Statistical Content of Auckland, New Zealand; ³³Southern IML Pathology, Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia; ³⁴Capital, Coast and Hutt Valley District, Wellington, New Zealand; ³⁵Royal Hobart Hospital, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia; ³⁶Launceston General Hospital, Launceston, Tasmania, Australia; ³⁷Albury Wodonga Health, Albury, Victoria, Australia; ³⁸Barwon Health, Geelong, Victoria, Australia; ³⁹Western Health, Footscray, Victoria, Australia; ⁴⁰Western Clinical School, Melbourne Medical School, University of Melbourne, St. Albans, Victoria, Australia; ⁴¹Tauranga Hospital, Hauora a Toi Bay of Plenty, Tauranga, New Zealand; ⁴²Whakatane Hospital, Hauora a Toi Bay of Plenty, Whakatane, New Zealand; ⁴³Ballarat Health Services, Ballarat, Victoria, Australia; ⁴⁴Latrobe Regional Hospital, Traralgon, Victoria, Australia; 45 St. John of God Midland Public and Private Hospital, Midland, Western Australia, Australia; 46 Centre for Infectious Diseases and Microbiology Laboratory Services, Institute of Clinical Pathology and Medical Research, New South Wales Health Pathology, Westmead Hospital, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia; and ⁴⁷Victorian Infectious Diseases Service, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Background. Patients without human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) are increasingly recognized as being at risk for cryptococcosis. Knowledge of characteristics of cryptococcosis in these patients remains incomplete.

Methods. We conducted a retrospective study of cryptococcosis in 46 Australian and New Zealand hospitals to compare its frequency in patients with and without HIV and describe its characteristics in patients without HIV. Patients with cryptococcosis between January 2015 and December 2019 were included.

Results. Of 475 patients with cryptococcosis, 90% were without HIV (426 of 475) with marked predominance in both *Cryptococcus neoformans* (88.7%) and *Cryptococcus gattii* cases (94.3%). Most patients without HIV (60.8%) had a known immunocompromising condition: cancer (n = 91), organ transplantation (n = 81), or other immunocompromising condition (n = 97). Cryptococcosis presented as incidental imaging findings in 16.4% of patients (70 of 426). The serum cryptococcal antigen test was positive in 85.1% of tested patients (319 of 375); high titers independently predicted risk of central nervous system involvement. Lumbar puncture was performed in 167 patients to screen for asymptomatic meningitis, with a positivity rate of 13.2% where meningitis could have been predicted by a high serum cryptococcal antigen titer and/or fungemia in 95% of evaluable cases. One-year all-cause mortality was 20.9% in patients without HIV and 21.7% in patients with HIV (P = .89).

Received 06 March 2023; editorial decision 09 May 2023; published online 26 May 2023 ^aS. C.-A. C. and M. A. S. contributed equally to this work.

^bMembers of the Australian and New Zealand study group for cryptococcosis in patients without HIV infection:

Correspondence: J. Coussement, Department of Infectious Diseases, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, 305 Grattan Street, Melbourne, Victoria 3000, Australia (juliencoussement@

gmail.com); M. Slavin, Department of Infectious Diseases, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, 305 Grattan Street, Melbourne, Victoria 3000, Australia (monica.slavin@petermac.org).

Clinical Infectious Diseases® 2023;77(7):976–86

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@ oup.com

https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciad321

Individual collaborators who are members of the Australian and New Zealand study group for cryptococcosis in patients without HIV infection and are not listed in the main list of authors are listed before the references.

Conclusions. Ninety percent of cryptococcosis cases occurred in patients without HIV (89% and 94% for *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii*, respectively). Emerging patient risk groups were evident. A high level of awareness is warranted to diagnose cryptococcosis in patients without HIV.

Keywords. cryptococcosis; cancer; transplantation; Cryptococcus gattii; Cryptococcus neoformans.

Cryptococcus infection is a major concern in persons living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), with a particularly high incidence and mortality in sub-Saharan Africa. A 2020 estimate suggested that annually, around 152 000 cases of cryptococcal meningitis occur globally, with 112 000 cryptococcal-related deaths [1]. In many developed countries, however, HIV-associated cryptococcos has become uncommon due to the availability of highly effective antiretroviral therapy [1]. In contrast, *Cryptococcus* infections in patients without HIV are increasingly appreciated, including in solid organ transplant recipients, other patients receiving immunosuppressive therapy, and patients who are otherwise considered immunocompetent [2–11].

Despite increasing awareness, knowledge of the epidemiology and clinical features of cryptococcosis in patients without HIV remains limited [8, 9, 12]. Previous studies have suggested a lower rate of central nervous system (CNS) involvement or a decreased sensitivity of serum Cryptococcus antigen (CrAg) testing in patients without HIV compared with patients with HIV [13]. However, these studies were limited by the relatively small number of HIV-negative patients with cryptococcosis (often <150-200 per study) [6, 7, 9, 12, 14, 15] and/or by lack of detailed clinical information [8, 15, 16]. Furthermore, many of the cases were diagnosed before 2010 [6-8, 12, 16]. Patients without HIV now represent a rapidly changing and heterogeneous group of patients with either new forms of immunosuppression that favor the emergence of new subgroups of immunocompromised patients or novel risks in otherwise healthy patients [17]. Finally, most studies were reported from the United States [6-9, 14]; whether their findings can be applied elsewhere is unclear. This is particularly important in Australia and New Zealand where the epidemiology of Cryptococcus infections not only varies according to patient host groups but also by cryptococcal species, given our relatively high incidence of Cryptococcus gattii infections [16, 18].

In the only population-based study in Australia and New Zealand during 1994–1997, having underlying HIV accounted for 43% of all cases of cryptococcosis; 26% of cases occurred in other immunocompromised hosts, and 31% occurred in apparently immunocompetent patients [16]. To update these data in an era of changing host risks, we conducted a multicenter retrospective study to compare the current frequency of cryptococcosis in patients without HIV with that of HIV-associated cryptococcosis and to describe the epidemiology and features of cryptococcosis in patients without HIV.

METHODS

Study Design

We performed a multicenter retrospective study across 36 Australian and 10 New Zealand hospitals (Supplementary Figure 1). Hospitals were recruited through the Australia and New Zealand Mycoses Interest Group of the Australasian Society for Infectious Diseases. Both metropolitan and regional hospitals participated; all had at least 1 infectious diseases physician on staff. Cases were identified by screening microbiology, histopathology, and the hospital medical record information systems. This study was approved by ethics committees at all sites with a waiver for informed consent.

Inclusion Criteria, Definitions, and Microbiological Methods Used

Adults aged ≥ 18 years with cryptococcosis diagnosed between 1 January 2015 and 31 December 2019 were included. This study period was selected because Australasian guidelines on cryptococcosis were published in 2014 [19]. Cryptococcosis was defined using the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer-Mycoses Study Group Education and Research Consortium definitions [20] and categorized as proven or probable (Supplementary Materials). Possible cases (ie, without mycological evidence of cryptococcosis) and patients with asymptomatic colonization of the airways (without clinical/radiological signs of cryptococcosis) were excluded. The date of diagnosis was defined as the day when the clinical sample that first led to the diagnosis of cryptococcosis was collected. Dissemination was defined as infection of at least 2 noncontiguous sites. CrAg testing was performed using either the Immuno-Mycologics Inc (IMMY, Norman, OK) CrAg lateral flow assay (41 of 46 sites) or the IMMY Latex-Cryptococcus antigen test (5 of 46 sites). Based on prior evidence [12, 21, 22] and distribution of titers obtained in the present study (data not shown), we defined a high serum CrAg titer as ≥1:320 where the lateral flow assay (IMMY) was used and ≥1:256 where the latex agglutination test (IMMY) was used. Cryptococcus species were assigned as Cryptococcus neoformans complex or C. gattii complex using standard mycological methods [23]. Patients were categorized into rural vs metropolitan on the basis of their residential postcodes. Additional definitions are provided in the Supplementary Material.

Data Collected

Data were collected using a standardized electronic data capture system (REDCap). We collected information on patient demographics and presence of at least 1 of the 4 following underlying immunocompromising conditions: diagnosed with HIV, solid organ transplantation, cancer, or other immunocompromising disease (ie, systemic inflammatory disease, primary immunodeficiency, or other immunocompromising condition/receipt of immunosuppressive medications). Additionally, the presence of medical conditions such as diabetes, chronic kidney disease, or liver disease was recorded using the Charlson comorbidity index. While we captured extensive data for patients without HIV (see case report form in the Supplementary Material), only minimal data were collected for patients with HIV (ie, date of diagnosis, causative *Cryptococcus* species, 1-year survival status).

Statistical Analyses

Summary statistics were undertaken to describe our cohort. Categorical data were summarized as counts and percentages. Continuous variables were summarized as means and standard deviations for normally distributed variables, and median and interquartile ranges (IQRs) were used for nonnormally distributed continuous variables. To compare the characteristics of infection between the subgroups of patients without HIV, categorical variables were compared using the Pearson χ^2 test or Fischer exact test (as appropriate), and continuous variables were compared using 1-way analysis of variance, a Kruskal-Wallis test, a t test, or a rank sum test (as appropriate). Associations between a priori selected patient and infection factors and a high serum CrAg titer were analyzed using univariable and multivariable logistic regression. Associations between selected patient factors with C. neoformans infection (compared with C. gattii) were also analyzed. A Hosmer-Lemeshow test was used to assess goodness of fit. One-year survival was assessed using Kaplan-Meier curves and compared between groups using log-rank tests. A 2-sided *P* value of < .05 was considered to be statistically significant. All analyses were performed in Stata version 16.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

RESULTS

Study Population and HIV+ to HIV- Ratio

A total of 475 patients from 46 hospitals were identified. Approximately 90% (426 of 475) of these cases were without HIV. This marked predominance of cases without HIV was observed for both culture-confirmed *C. neoformans* cases (88.7%, 228 of 257) and *C. gattii* cases (94.3%, 82 of 87), as well as cases diagnosed based on serum CrAg and/or histopathology results only without culture (88.4%, 114 of 129; Supplementary Table 1).

While the yearly number of cases with HIV remained stable during the study period, the number of cases without HIV doubled between 2015 and 2019 (Supplementary Figure 2).

Description of HIV-Negative Patients With Cryptococcosis

Characteristics of the 426 HIV-negative patients with cryptococcosis are shown in Table 1. Overall, there was a male predominance with a male-to-female ratio of 2:1 (284 males, 142 females). There was also an association between residing in a rural area and having *C. gattii* infection compared with *C. neoformans* infection (crude odds ratio [OR], 2.61; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.56 to 4.38; P < .001). *Cryptococcosis gattii* cases occurred almost exclusively in Australia (81 of 82 patients, 98.8%).

At least 1 immunocompromising condition was present in 259 of 426 (60.8%) HIV-negative patients with cryptococcosis: 91 had cancer, 81 were organ transplant recipients, and 97 had another immunocompromising condition (Table 1).

Of cancer patients, most had a hematological malignancy (62.6%, 57 of 91), with 19 patients each having chronic lymphocytic leukemia and non-Hodgkin lymphoma (Supplementary Table 2). Twelve cases were associated with receipt of Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitors. No cancer-related cases occurred in patients taking antifungal prophylaxis (Supplementary Table 2).

Regarding solid organ transplant recipients, the most commonly transplanted organs were kidney (68%, 55 of 81), lung (17%, 14 of 81), and liver (15%, 12 of 81 patients; Supplementary Table 3). The median time between transplantation and cryptococcosis was 35 months (IQR, 13 to 76), and only 11 patients (14%) had a history of acute graft rejection in the 6 months before cryptococcosis (Supplementary Table 3).

In those with an immunocompromising condition other than cancer or solid organ transplantation, the most common condition was rheumatoid arthritis (23%, 22 of 97 patients; Supplementary Table 4). There were 9 cases of cryptococcosis in patients with multiple sclerosis receiving fingolimod (see Supplementary Table 4 for additional details).

Of 167 patients who had no identifiable immunocompromising condition, only 37.1% had *C. neoformans* infection (62 of 167). In contrast, the presence of an immunocompromising condition was significantly associated with *C. neoformans* compared with *C. gattii* infection (OR, 7.3; 95% CI, 4.1 to 12.9; P < .001; Table 2). Using Charlson comorbidity index data, we observed that most patients (56.5%, 35 of 62) who developed *C. neoformans* infection despite no identifiable immunocompromising condition had at least 1 medical disorder that may have predisposed them to cryptococcosis (ie, chronic lung disease, liver disease, kidney disease, or diabetes). Additional patient details are shown in Supplementary Table 5.

$\label{eq:clinical and Radiological Presentation of Cryptococcosis in Patients \\ Without \ HIV$

The clinical and radiological features of cryptococcosis are shown in Table 1. Common sites of infection were the lungs (75.1%, 320 of 426) and CNS (45.8%, 195 of 426); 40.1% of patients (171 of 426) had disseminated cryptococcosis. Of note, 16.4% of cryptococcosis episodes presented as an incidental finding on imaging of asymptomatic patients (70 of 426). In symptomatic patients, the median time from symptom onset to diagnosis was 22 days (IQR, 8 to 60), and only 24.6% (105 of 426) of patients presented with fever \geq 38°C (Table 1).

	Patients With At Least One Known Underlying Immunocompromising Condition(s) Before Cryptococcosis (n = 259) ^a				
Characteristic	Cancer (n = 82) ^a	Solid Organ Transplant (n = 81) ^a	Other Immunocompromising condition (n = 96)ª	Patients Without Known Underlying Immunocompromising Condition (n = 167)	<i>P</i> Value ^t
Male	62 (75.6)	57 (70.4)	51 (53.1)	114 (68.3)	.009
Age at diagnosis, y mean ± SD	67 ± 13	54.9 ± 12.2	61.2 ± 15	54 ± 15.3	< .001
Rural residence (vs metropolitan)	35 (42.7)	29 (35.8)	25 (26.0)	70 (41.9)	.05
Charlson comorbidity index at diagnosis, mean \pm SD	3.5 ± 2	3.2 ± 1.6	1.8 ± 1.5	0.9 ± 1.5	< .001
Smoking status, n = 351					
Current	6 (9.1)	3 (4.9)	11 (13.4)	32 (22.5)	.02
Former	27 (40.9)	20 (32.8)	30 (36.6)	42 (25.6)	
Never	33 (50)	38 (62.3)	41 (50)	68 (47.9)	
Cryptococcus species					
C. neoformans	50 (61)	51 (63)	65 (67.7)	62 (37.1)	< .001
C. gattii	9 (11)	6 (7.4)	7 (7.3)	60 (35.9)	
Unknown (no positive culture)	23 (28)	24 (29.6)	23 (24.0)	44 (26.4)	
Other	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (1)	1 (0.6)	
Proven cryptococcosis per European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer-Mycoses Study Group Education and Research Consortium criteria (vs probable)	59 (72)	58 (71.6)	72 (75.0)	128 (76.7)	.79
Site(s) of infection					
Lung	62 (75.6)	60 (74.1)	68 (70.8)	130 (77.8)	.65
Lung (isolated)	38 (46.3)	30 (37.0)	43 (44.8)	65 (38.9)	.51
CNS	30 (36.6)	36 (44.4)	42 (43.8)	87 (52.1)	.13
Skin	7 (8.5)	14 (17.3)	9 (9.4)	2 (1.2)	< .001
Blood	18 (22.0)	15 (18.5)	16 (16.7)	13 (7.8)	.01
Urine	1 (1.2)	3 (3.7)	1 (1)	2 (1.2)	.55
Other	6 (7.3)	11 (13.6)	3 (3.1)	15 (9)	.08
Disseminated (≥2 sites)	29 (35.6)	37 (45.7)	30 (31.3)	75 (44.9)	.09
Presenting signs/symptoms					
No symptoms	19 (23.2)	16 (19.8)	13 (13.5)	22 (13.2)	.16.
Fever ≥38°C	26 (31.7)	19 (23.5)	32 (33.3)	28 (16.8)	.008
Respiratory symptoms (cough, sputum production, and/or dyspnea)	30 (36.6)	27 (33.3)	41 (42.7)	65 (38.9)	.62
Neurological features	30 (36.6)	36 (44.4)	41 (42.7)	82 (49.1)	.30
Headaches (isolated)	6 (7.3)	11 (13.6)	9 (9.4)	21 (12.6)	.50
Meningism	8 (9.8)	11 (13.6)	13 (13.5)	34 (20.4)	.13
Altered mental status (Glasgow score ≤13)	6 (7.3)	7 (8.6)	15 (15.6)	18 (10.8)	.29
Seizures	3 (3.7)	0 (0)	3 (3.1)	10 (6)	.11
Other neurological symptoms/sign	24 (29.3)	20 (24.7)	25 (26.0)	54 (32.3)	.56
Skin lesion(s)	7 (8.5)	14 (17.3)	9 (9.4)	2 (1.2)	< .001
If symptomatic: time from onset of symptoms to diagnosis, d, median (IQR), n = 334	21 (8–35)	13 (5–30)	23.5 (13–60)	35 (11–60)	.002
Blood test results at presentation					
C-reactive protein level, mg/L, median (IQR), n = 342	34 (8.8–83)	11.3 (3–44.5)	20 (4.2–64)	7.1 (3–25)	< .001
Positive blood cultures, $n = 287$	18 (34)	15 (23.1)	16 (23.2)	13 (13)	.025
Positive serum CrAg, $n = 375$	61 (83.6)	64 (87.7)	71 (87.7)	123 (83.1)	.71
Neutropenia <500 mm ³	2 (2.4)	2 (2.5)	1 (1)	0 (0)	.07
Biopsy with suggestive histopathology, $n = 424$	28 (34.6)	24 (30)	29 (30.2)	66 (39.5)	.35
CSF findings (for patients with CNS cryptococcosis) White blood cells, per mm ³ , median (IQR),	157 (34–350)	65 (13–393)	33 (10–73)	113 (28–277)	< .001
n = 169 Lymphocytes, per mm ³ , median (IQR), n = 175	85 (18–209)	47 (10–121)	20 (6–62)	99 (24–214)	< .001

	Immunocompromising Condition(s) Before Cryptococcosis $(n = 259)^a$				
Characteristic	Cancer (n = 82)ª	Solid Organ Transplant (n = 81) ^a	Other Immunocompromising condition (n = 96) ^a	Patients Without Known Underlying Immunocompromising Condition (n = 167)	<i>P</i> Value ^b
Neutrophils, per mm ³ , median (IQR), n = 174	16 (5–71)	4 (1–16)	4 (0–29)	9 (1–36)	.08
Protein, mg/dL, median (IQR), n = 183	134 (93–180)	90 (45–147)	103 (49–212)	90 (58–150)	.16
Glucose, mg/dL median (IQR), n = 182	36 (24–51)	49 (29–68)	34 (14–54)	43 (27–69)	0,16
Opening pressure \geq 25 cmH ₂ O, n = 127	2 (11.8)	8 (34.8)	8 (29.6)	28 (46.7)	.047
Positive microscopy, n = 156	12 (52.2)	19 (63.3)	16 (42.1)	36 (55.3)	.36
Positive culture, n = 186	23 (79.3)	28 (80)	29 (70.7)	58 (71.6)	< .001
Positive CSF CrAg, n = 185	29 (100)	35 (100)	41 (100)	75 (93.4)	.14
Brain imaging findings (for patients with CNS cryptococcosis), $n = 176$					
Cryptococcoma(s)	6 (23.1)	3 (9.4)	5 (13.5)	31 (38.3)	.003
Signs of meningitis	7 (26.9)	6 (18.8)	13 (35.1)	27 (33.3)	.40
Hydrocephalus	0(0)	2 (6.3)	3 (8.1)	8 (9.9)	.45
Dilated perivascular spaces	2 (7.7)	1 (3.1)	1 (2.7)	3 (3.7)	.80
No related lesions reported	13 (50)	21 (65.6)	20 (54.1)	23 (28.4)	.001
Chest imaging findings (for patients with lung cryptococcosis)					
Nodule(s) or mass(es)	51 (82.3)	43 (71.7)	50 (73.5)	103 (79.2)	.43
Consolidation(s)	16 (25.8)	14 (23.3)	26 (38.2)	31 (23.9)	.14
Interstitial pattern	7 (11.3)	6 (10)	14 (20.6)	5 (3.9)	.003
Pleural effusion	10 (16.1)	10 (16.7)	5 (7.4)	11 (8.5)	.15
No related lesions reported	14 (22.6)	17 (28.3)	20 (29.4)	34 (26.2)	.33

Patients With At Least One Known Underlying

Data are n (%) unless otherwise indicated.

Abbreviations: CNS, central nervous system; CrAg, Cryptococcus antigen; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; IQR, interquartile range; n, number of data analyzed (when at least 1 missing data); SD, standard deviation.

^aTen patients had 2 immunocompromising conditions and, to avoid duplicates in data analysis, were included in only 1 subgroup after medical history review (details: 1 kidney transplant recipient with a history of vasculitis was analyzed as being an organ transplant recipient; 1 kidney transplant recipient with a history of cancer was analyzed as being an organ transplant recipient; 8 patients with a history of both cancer and another immunocompromising condition were analyzed as having another immunocompromising condition).

^b*P* values are for the comparison of the 4 subgroups of patients without human immunodeficiency virus.

P values below 0.05 are in bold, indicating statistical significance.

Compared with patients with *C. neoformans* infection, those with *C. gattii* infection significantly more often had CNS involvement (P < .001), evidence of brain cryptococcoma(s) (P < .001), or lung nodule(s)/mass(es) (P = .02; see Table 2 for details and additional differences between *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* infections).

Results of Blood Tests in HIV-Negative Patients With Cryptococcosis

Serum C-reactive protein (CRP) was measured in 80.3% (342 of 426) of patients; it was normal (<10 mg/L) in almost half of those tested (155 of 342, 45.3%). The proportion of patients who had an increased blood CRP level (\geq 10 mg/L) was low in asymptomatic patients incidentally found to have isolated lung cryptococcosis (44%, 19 of 43 tested patients) as well as in those with symptomatic CNS cryptococcosis (56%, 94 of 169 tested patients).

Blood cultures were taken in more than two-thirds of patients and grew *Cryptococcus* in 21.6% (62 of 287) of tested patients, almost all with *C. neoformans* (60 of 62). Serum CrAg testing was performed in 88.2% of patients at presentation (375 of 426) and was positive in 85.1% of tested patients (319 of 375). As shown in Table 2, serum CrAg was positive in 77.1% of patients with *C. neoformans* infection compared with 97.3% of those with *C. gattii* infection (P < .001). Patients with negative serum CrAg results often had isolated lung cryptococcosis (representing 75% [42 of 56] of cases with a negative serum CrAg). After adjustment for potential confounders in a multivariable model (Supplementary Table 6), serum CrAg titer positively correlated with risk of CNS involvement (adjusted OR, 6.50; 95% CI, 2.92 to 14.45; P < .001) and *C. gattii* infection (adjusted OR, 3.01; 95% CI, 1.29 to 7.04; P = .01).

Usefulness of Systematic Lumbar Puncture in Patients Without HIV Who Have Cryptococcosis But No Clinical Neurological Abnormalities at Presentation

A lumbar puncture (LP) was performed in 80.8% (344 of 426) of our cohort without HIV. Around half were "clinically

	Patients Without HIV With Cryptococcus neoformans Infection	Patients Without HIV With <i>C. gattii</i> Infection	
Characteristic	(n = 228)	(n = 82)	<i>P</i> Value
Male	114 (61.4)	56 (68.3)	.27
Age at diagnosis, y mean ± standard deviation	60.7 ± 15.5	52.7 ± 14.1	< .001
Australia (vs New Zealand)	197 (86.4)	81 (98.8)	.002
Rural residence (vs metropolitan)	70 (30.7)	44 (53.7)	< .001
Known underlying immunocompromising condition ^a Cancer	166 (72.8) 56 (24.6)	22 (26.8) 9 (11.0)	< .001 <
Solid organ transplantation	51 (22.4)	6 (7.3)	.003
Other ^a	65 (28.5)	7 (8.5)	< .001
Charlson comorbidity index at diagnosis, median (IQR)	2 (1-4)	0 (0–2)	< .001
Smoking status, n = 247			
Current	17 (9.7)	14 (19.7)	.10
Former	62 (35.2)	23 (32.4)	
Never	97 (55 1)	34 (4)	
Proven cryptococcosis per European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer-Mycoses Study Group Education and Research Consortium criteria (vs probable)	161 (70.6)	71 (86.6)	.004
Site(s) of infection			
Lung	150 (65.8)	71 (86.6)	< .001
Lung (isolated)	23 (10.1)	4 (4.9)	.18
CNS	107 (46.9)	64 (78)	< .001
Skin	22 (9 7)	2 (2 4)	05
Blood	60 (26.3)	1 (1 2)	< 001
	7 (3 1)	0 (0)	20
Other	22 (9 7)	5 (6 1)	.20
Disseminated (>2 sites)	97 (42 5)	54 (65.9)	.00
Signs/sumptoms at time of procentation	37 (+2.3)	54 (05.5)	< .001
No symptoms at time of presentation	25 (11 0)	6 (7 2)	25
Four >20°C	69 (20 9)	26 (21 7)	.35
$P_{\text{expirators}}$ (acush aputum production or dyapped)	00 (29.0)	20 (31.7)	.75
Neurological factures	67 (36.2) 104 (45.6)	51 (37.0)	.90
	104 (45.6)	59 (72.0)	< .001
	20 (11.4)	17 (20.7) 05 (20.5)	.04
Alternational status (Classic status (12)	33 (14.5)	25 (30.5)	.001
Altered mental status (Glasgow score ≤ 13)	30 (13.2)	10 (12.2)	.82
Seizures	8 (3.5)	6 (7.3)	.15
Other	78 (34.2)	21 (25.6)	.15
Skin lesion	22 (9.7)	2 (2.4)	.05
Time from onset of symptoms to diagnosis, d, median (IQR), $n = 264$	21 (7-56)	21 (10-60)	.34
Blood test results at time of presentation C-reactive protein level, mg/L, median (IQR), $n = 258$	19.7 (4.2–58.6)	8.4 (3.9–43)	.06
Positive blood cultures, $n = 225$	60 (36.1)	1 (1.7)	< .001
Positive serum CrAg, $n = 265$	148 (77.1)	71 (97.3)	< .001
Neutropenia <500 mm ³ , n = 302	3 (1.3)	1 (1.3)	1
Biopsy with suggestive histopathology results, $n = 308$	44 (19.5)	32 (39)	< .001
CSF findings (for patients with CNS cryptococcosis)			
White blood cells, per mm ³ , median (IQR), $n = 148$	60 (12–146)	113 (41–262)	.01
Lymphocytes, per mm ³ , median (IQR), n = 155	52 (9–122)	87 (42–210)	.01
Neutrophils, per mm ³ , median (IQR), n = 154	6 (1–27)	16 (3–49)	.01
Protein, mg/dL, median (IQR), n = 161	110 (63.5–176.6)	82 (50–113.1)	.02
Glucose, mg/dL, median (IQR), n = 160	38.7 (18–59.2)	45 (30.6–70.1)	.046
Opening pressure \geq 25 cmH ₂ O, n = 111	26 (38.8)	19 (43.2)	.62
Positive microscopy, n = 138	56 (62.9)	27 (55.1)	.33
Positive culture, n = 164	89 (86.4)	49 (80.3)	< .001
Positive CSF CrAg, $n = 163$	103 (100)	57 (95)	.02

Table 2. Comparison Between Patients Without Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Who Had a Culture-Positive Cryptococcus neoformans Infection (n = 228) and Patients Without HIV Who Had a Culture-Positive Cryptococcus gattii Infection (n = 82)

Table 2. Continued

Characteristic	Patients Without HIV With <i>Cryptococcus neoformans</i> Infection (n = 228)	Patients Without HIV With <i>C. gattii</i> Infection (n = 82)	<i>P</i> Value
Brain imaging findings (for patients with CNS cryptococcosis), n = 154			
Cryptococcoma(s)	11 (12)	28 (45.2)	< .001
Signs of meningitis	31 (33.7)	15 (24.2)	.21
Hydrocephalus	7 (7.7)	4 (6.5)	1
Dilated perivascular spaces	2 (2.2)	5 (8.1)	.12
No related lesions	51 (55.4)	19 (30.6)	.002
Chest imaging findings (for patients with lung cryptococcosis)			
Nodule(s) or mass(es)	89 (59.3)	54 (76.1)	.02
Consolidation(s)	50 (33.3)	18 (25.4)	.23
Interstitial pattern	19 (12.7)	4 (5.6)	.16
Pleural effusion	23 (15.3)	6 (8.5)	.16
No related lesions	4 (2.7)	1 (1.4)	1

Data are n (%) unless otherwise indicated. Only culture-positive cases were used for these comparisons (ie, 228 infections due to *Cryptococcus neoformans* vs 82 infections due to *C. gattil*). Abbreviations: CNS, central nervous system; CrAg, *Cryptococcus* antigen; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; IQR, interquartile range; n, number of data analyzed (when at least 1 missing data). ^aDefined as solid organ transplantation, cancer, and/or other immunocompromising condition (Supplementary Table 4). For the purpose of this study, other medical conditions that may be associated with varying degrees of immune deficit (eg, diabetes, chronic kidney disease, or liver disease) were not considered by themselves as "underlying immunocompromising conditions."

P values below 0.05 are in bold, indicating statistical significance.

indicated" (ie, in the presence of clinical neurological abnormalities at time of presentation), and the remainder were "systematic LPs" performed to screen for asymptomatic meningitis in patients without clinical neurological abnormalities (51.5%, 177 of 344 and 48.5%, 167 of 344, respectively).

Of the 167 patients who had systematic LPs, 22 were found to have meningitis (positivity rate, 13.2%; see Figure 1). Patients with such asymptomatic meningitis typically had cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) features that suggested low fungal burden (see Supplementary Table 7 for CSF culture results and CrAg titers).

Next, we determined if these 22 cases of asymptomatic meningitis would have been predicted by blood tests. After exclusion of 3 of 22 patients who did not have a serum CrAg titer available for analysis, we observed that 18 of 19 patients (95%) with asymptomatic meningitis had either a high serum CrAg titer and/or fungemia (details in Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 7). The remaining patient truly had asymptomatic meningitis despite negative blood cultures and a low serum CrAg titer (1:40).

CSF Features in HIV-Negative Patients With Cryptococcosis

CSF features are presented in Table 1 for patients with an LP that showed CNS cryptococcosis. CSF CrAg was positive in nearly all patients without HIV with CNS cryptococcosis (97.3%, 180 of 185 tested patients). The CSF white cell count and CSF culture were positive in 85.2% (count $>5/\text{mm}^3$ in 144 of 169 tested patients) and 74.2% (138 of 186 tested patients), respectively. The yield of CSF culture was low among patients with asymptomatic meningitis (positive in 31.8%, 7 of 22 tested patients).

Last, 7 patients without HIV who presented with CNS cryptococcosis had a BioFire FilmArray meningitis/encephalitis panel performed for diagnosis, and that panel was negative in 3 (Supplementary Table 8).

Mortality

One-year survival status was available for 98.5% of all study patients (468 of 475). One-year all-cause mortality did not significantly differ between patients with and without HIV (21.7%, 10 of 46 vs 20.9%, 88 of 422, respectively; P = .89).

Kaplan-Meier survival curves are shown for patients without HIV in Supplementary Figures 3–5. In these patients, most deaths occurred early after diagnosis (10-week all-cause mortality was 12.2%, 52 of 426). Subgroup analyses revealed that among patients without HIV, 1-year mortality was highest among cancer patients (34.1%, 31 of 91; Supplementary Figure 3) and lowest among patients with *C. gattii* infection (11.1%, 9 of 81; Supplementary Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

Our findings confirm the changing epidemiology of cryptococcosis in medically developed countries. In our study of 475 cases that occurred between 2015 and 2019 in Australia and New Zealand, 90% of the cryptococcosis episodes occurred in patients without HIV. This proportion, also observed when focusing on *C. neoformans* infections alone, is much higher than the proportions of 23%–44% observed in previous large multisite studies performed in the United States and France [8, 12]. It is also higher than the proportion of 57% observed in a previous

426 patients without HIV diagnosed with cryptococcosis in our 46 hospitals during the study period (January 2015 - December 2019)

82 patients without HIV without a LP done, including:

- Patients with diagnosis of cryptococcosis made post-mortem or in an end-of-life situation with care limitations
- Physician and/or patient decision



Figure 1. Usefulness of systematic LP in patients without HIV who have cryptococcosis but no clinical neurological abnormalities at the time of presentation. *The presence of suggestive clinical neurological abnormalities was defined as the presence of at least 1 of the following signs/symptoms: headache, meningeal symptoms, altered mental status, confusion, lethargy, seizures, syncope/falls, focal neurological signs. **A high serum CrAg titer was defined as \geq 1:320 for study sites that used the Immuno-Mycologics Inc CrAg lateral flow assay and \geq 1:256 for study sites that used latex agglutination. Abbreviations: CrAg: *Cryptococcus* antigen; HIV, human immunode-ficiency virus; LP, lumbar puncture; NB, nota bene.

population-based study conducted in Australia and New Zealand 20 years ago [16]. This may be explained by factors such as the relatively low prevalence of uncontrolled HIV in Australia and New Zealand due to widespread availability of highly effective antiretroviral therapy and the rising number of other immunocompromised patients.

While previous studies of HIV-negative patients with cryptococcosis often included cases diagnosed before 2010, our study was limited to recent years and therefore identified new groups of patients at risk of cryptococcosis, such as multiple sclerosis patients receiving fingolimod and cancer patients receiving Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Our findings confirm previous information from case reports and small case series [24, 25] and show the importance of these emerging risk groups. A high level of awareness is warranted when these patients develop features compatible with cryptococcosis.

The rate of apparently immunocompetent patients was also higher in our cohort (almost 40%) compared with past studies on cryptococcosis. This may be attributable to the relative importance of *C. gattii* in our region and its well-known ability to infect previously healthy individuals [18, 26]. However, we also identified 62 patients who had *C. neoformans* infection despite being apparently immunocompetent. While most had at least 1 medical condition that may have fostered cryptococcosis (ie, chronic lung disease, liver disease, kidney disease, or diabetes), it is possible that some of these patients had an unrecognized immunocompromising condition. Recent studies have suggested that some *C. gattii* infections may be due to relatively subtle deficits, such as the presence of anti-granulocyte-macrophage-colonystimulating factor antibodies [27, 28].

Our study confirms that CNS involvement, which represents more than 70%–90% of HIV-related cryptococcal cases [28], occurs in fewer than half of cases without HIV [3, 5, 13]. Since we collected detailed information at presentation, we were able to identify several elements that illustrate the complexity of diagnosing cryptococcosis in patients without HIV. First, one-sixth of the patients without HIV who had cryptococcosis in our study were asymptomatic at the time of diagnosis; in these patients, cryptococcosis was typically an incidental finding on chest imaging (eg, in cancer patients having followup imaging or in trauma patients). Second, classic indicators of infection such as fever and increased CRP level were absent in 75% and 45% of the cases, respectively. The unremarkable levels of CRP are in contrast with other invasive bacterial and fungal diseases as well as with patients who have HIV and cryptococcosis [29]. Finally, serum CrAg (which is positive in >99% of the patients who have HIV and cryptococcal meningitis [30]) was positive in only 85% of the patients without HIV in our study. Consequently, neither the absence of symptoms nor a normal CRP level nor a negative serum CrAg could be used to rule out cryptococcosis in patients without HIV with compatible lesions. Another pitfall recently described [31, 32], and observed in our study, is falsely negative results with the BioFire FilmArray meningitis/encephalitis panel in some patients with cryptococcal meningitis.

Our findings may be used to inform the debate regarding the recommendation to routinely perform an LP in HIV-negative patients with cryptococcosis, even in the absence of neurological symptoms [33]. Although we acknowledge the importance of early identification of asymptomatic meningitis, we observed that more than 85% of CSF specimens in our patients without neurological symptoms were normal. Further, we found that the combination of 2 simple blood tests (high serum CrAg titer and/or fungemia) may predict the risk of asymptomatic crypto-coccal meningitis in our patients without HIV. Specifically, at least 1 of these 2 biomarkers was present in 95% of the evaluable patients found to have asymptomatic meningitis. Previous studies have evaluated the potential usefulness of serum CrAg titer and blood cultures to reduce the number of

d immuno-Last, we confirm the distinctive epidemiology of *C. gattii* andgested that*C. neoformans* in our region. In particular, our study corrobo-
rates that *C. gattii* cryptococcosis affects the CNS in almost 80%of the cases in our region compared with <40% of outbreak cas-
es in North America [26]. Other clinical findings of importance
include the high rate of positivity of serum CrAg in patients

to benefit.

blood cultures (<2% in *C. gattii* cases) [36]. Our study has several limitations. We did not collect information on conditions such as excess alcohol consumption or pregnancy, which are potential predisposing factors for cryptococcosis. Our study was also conducted before the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic, so any association with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection was not evaluable [37]. Due to the retrospective study design, not all patients had each diagnostic test performed at baseline, making it difficult to precisely estimate their sensitivity and specificity.

with C. gattii infection (around 97% vs 77% in patients without

HIV with C. neoformans infection) and the very low yield from

systematic LPs. However, these studies typically recruited

very few patients with asymptomatic CNS involvement or in-

cluded only limited information on baseline neurological status

[34, 35]. Further research is needed to determine whether se-

rum CrAg and blood cultures can be used to safely confine

the use of LPs to asymptomatic patients who are the most likely

In conclusion, 90% of the cases of cryptococcosis recently seen in Australia and New Zealand occurred in patients without HIV. This proportion, also observed when focusing on *C. neoformans* infections alone, is much higher than that seen in prior population-based studies. The high number of enrolled patients and detailed clinical data contribute to a greater understanding of this difficult-to-diagnose infection, which commonly presents with nonspecific or even no symptoms.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary materials are available at *Clinical Infectious Diseases* online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

Notes

Potential conflicts of interest. T. S. has received consulting fees for serving on advisory boards and steering committees from Biogen. O. M. has received grants from Gilead Sciences and Merck, Sharp and Dohme Australia and honoraria from Gilead Sciences; support for attending meetings from F2G; and participated on data and safety monitoring boards (DSMB) or advisory boards for Gilead Sciences and Merck, Sharp and Dohme Australia. K. J. K. has received payment for expert testimony at the 46th Society of Hospital Pharmacists of Australia National Conference. A. R. T. has received honoraria from the Medical Journal of Australia, paid to institution, and reports grants or contracts from CTRA with the University of Melbourne (reimbursement of costs paid to institution). B. W. T. is supported by a Medical Research Future Fund Investigator Fellowship; has received grants from MSD and Seqirus; has received honoraria from Pfizer, Alexion, and Janssen; and participated on DSMBs or advisory boards for CSLBehring, Takeda, and Moderna. S. C. A. C. has received educational grants from F2G and MSD Australia; reports untied educational grants from MSD Australia and F2G Pty Ltd; and reports a role as editor-in-chief for Medical Mycology (journal of ISHAM). M. A. S. has received grants from Gilead Sciences, Merck, and F2G; has received honoraria from F2G; and participated on DSMBs or advisory boards for Pfizer, Cidara, and Roche. R. J. L. reports paid participation on a GSK advisory board. S. A. L. reports grants or contracts as principal investigator on 3 projects funded through a Hot North fund grant and a UK Government Fleming Fund Grant (as part of broader funding for the Menzies School of Health Research; no salary, project costs remunerated only); unpaid participation on a DSMB or advisory board for the Australian Academy of Science and the Australian Academy of Health and Medical Sciences roundtable of experts for the House of Representatives Committee on Health and Ageing; and an unpaid role as a National Tuberculosis Advisory Committee member. M. T. B. reports an unpaid role as an Advanced Training Committee member for General Medicine for the Royal Australasian College of Physicians and an unpaid member of the Vocational Training Committee for Medical Registrars in the Auckland region for the Northern Region Alliance. C. L. K. reports an unpaid role on the Australian Society of Infectious Diseases Board of Directors. E. W. reports a role as a committee member of the Australasian Society for Infectious Diseases Equity and Diversity Committee (unpaid). K. C. G. reports a role as a member of the New Zealand Committee of the Australasian Society for Infectious Diseases. All other authors report no potential conflicts.

All authors have submitted the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Members of the Australian and New Zealand Study Group for Cryptococcosis in Patients Without HIV Infection (in alphabetical order). Kylie Alcorn (Southport, Queensland, Australia); Justin Beardsley (Sydney, New South Wales, Australia); Aaron Bloch (Ballarat, Victoria, Australia); Amy Crowe (Melbourne, Victoria, Australia); Wendy Doyle (Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia); Michelle England (Perth, Western Australia, Australia); David Griffin (Melbourne, Victoria, Australia); Kate Hamilton (Sydney, New South Wales, Australia); Tony M. Korman (Melbourne, Victoria, Australia); Victoria Madigan (Melbourne, Victoria, Australia); Hugh McGann (Hamilton, New Zealand); William Pratt (Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia); Sebastiaan Van Hal (Sydney, New South Wales, Australia); Prue Waters (Heidelberg, Victoria, Australia); and Eloise Williams (Melbourne, Victoria, Australia).

References

- Rajasingham R, Govender NP, Jordan A, et al. The global burden of HIV-associated cryptococcal infection in adults in 2020: a modelling analysis. Lancet Infect Dis 2022; 22:1748–55.
- Pappas PG, Alexander BD, Andes DR, et al. Invasive fungal infections among organ transplant recipients: results of the Transplant-Associated Infection Surveillance Network (TRANSNET). Clin Infect Dis 2010; 50:1101–11.
- Kontoyiannis DP, Peitsch WK, Reddy BT, et al. Cryptococcosis in patients with cancer. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 32:E145–50.
- Madigan V, Smibert O, Chen S, Trubiano JA, Slavin MA, Teh BW. Cryptococcal infection in patients with haematological and solid organ malignancy in the era of targeted and immune-based therapies. Clin Microbiol Infect 2019; 26:519–21.
- Schmalzle SA, Buchwald UK, Gilliam BL, Riedel DJ. Cryptococcus neoformans infection in malignancy. Mycoses 2016; 59:542–52.
- Bratton EW, El Husseini N, Chastain CA, et al. Comparison and temporal trends of three groups with cryptococcosis: HIV-infected, solid organ transplant, and HIV-negative/non-transplant. PLoS One 2012; 7:e43582.
- Brizendine KD, Baddley JW, Pappas PG. Predictors of mortality and differences in clinical features among patients with cryptococcosis according to immune status. PLoS One 2013; 8:e60431.

- George IA, Spec A, Powderly WG, Santos CAQ. Comparative epidemiology and outcomes of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), non-HIV non-transplant, and solid organ transplant associated cryptococcosis: a population-based study. Clin Infect Dis 2018; 66:608–11.
- Marr KA, Sun Y, Spec A, et al. A multicenter, longitudinal cohort study of cryptococcosis in human immunodeficiency virus-negative people in the United States. Clin Infect Dis 2020; 70:252–61.
- O'Halloran JA, Powderly WG, Spec A. Cryptococcosis today: it is not all about HIV infection. Curr Clin Microbiol Rep 2017; 4:88–95.
- Spec A, Raval K, Powderly WG. End-stage liver disease is a strong predictor of early mortality in cryptococcosis. Open Forum Infect Dis 2015; 3:ofv197.
- Dromer F, Mathoulin-Pelissier S, Launay O, Lortholary O. Determinants of disease presentation and outcome during cryptococcosis: the CryptoA/D study. PLoS Med 2007; 4:e21.
- Pappas PG. Cryptococcal infections in non-HIV-infected patients. Trans Am Clin Climatol Assoc 2013; 124:61–79.
- Kumar RN, Nam H, Roberts SC, Penugonda S, Angarone M, Stosor V. Epidemiology of cryptococcal infections in non-HIV patients: a 20-year single center experience. Open Forum Infect Dis 2020; 7:S608.
- Hughes CM, Lennon D, Davis JS. CRyptococcosis in Newcastle and the hUnTer (CRONUT)—an epidemiological study. Infect Dis Health 2020; 25:34–42.
- Chen S, Sorrell T, Nimmo G, et al. Epidemiology and host- and variety-dependent characteristics of infection due to *Cryptococcus neoformans* in Australia and New Zealand. Australasian Cryptococcal Study Group. Clin Infect Dis 2000; 31: 499–508.
- Davis JS, Ferreira D, Paige E, Gedye C, Boyle M. Infectious complications of biological and small molecule targeted immunomodulatory therapies. Clin Microbiol Rev 2020; 33:e00035-19.
- Chen SC, Slavin MA, Heath CH, et al. Clinical manifestations of *Cryptococcus gattii* infection: determinants of neurological sequelae and death. Clin Infect Dis 2012; 55:789–98.
- Chen SC, Sorrell TC, Chang CC, Paige EK, Bryant PA, Slavin MA. Consensus guidelines for the treatment of yeast infections in the haematology, oncology and intensive care setting, 2014. Intern Med J 2014; 44:1315–32.
- 20. Donnelly JP, Chen SC, Kauffman CA, et al. Revision and update of the consensus definitions of invasive fungal disease from the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer and the Mycoses Study Group Education and Research Consortium. Clin Infect Dis 2020; 71:1367–76.
- Beyene T, Zewde AG, Balcha A, et al. Inadequacy of high-dose fluconazole monotherapy among cerebrospinal fluid cryptococcal antigen (CrAg)-positive human immunodeficiency virus-infected persons in an Ethiopian CrAg screening program. Clin Infect Dis 2017; 65:2126–9.
- Wake RM, Britz E, Sriruttan C, et al. High cryptococcal antigen titers in blood are predictive of subclinical cryptococcal meningitis among human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients. Clin Infect Dis 2018; 66:686–92.
- Carroll KC, Pfaller MA, Landry ML, et al. Manual of clinical microbiology, 12th ed. Washington, DC: ASM Press, 2019.
- Carpenter K, Etemady-Deylamy A, Costello V, et al. Cryptococcal chest wall mass and rib osteomyelitis associated with the use of fingolimod: a case report and literature review. Front Med (Lausanne) 2022; 9:942751.
- Brochard J, Morio F, Mahe J, et al. Ibrutinib, a Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitor, a new risk factor for cryptococcosis. Med Mal Infect 2020; 50:742–5.
- Chen SC, Meyer W, Sorrell TC. *Cryptococcus gattii* infections. Clin Microbiol Rev 2014; 27:980–1024.
- Kuo PH, Wu UI, Pan YH, et al. Neutralizing anti-granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor autoantibodies in patients with central nervous system and localized cryptococcosis: longitudinal follow-up and literature review. Clin Infect Dis 2022; 75:278–87.
- Saijo T, Chen J, Chen SC, et al. Anti-granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor autoantibodies are a risk factor for central nervous system infection by *Cryptococcus gattii* in otherwise immunocompetent patients. mBio 2014; 5: e00912-14.
- Chesdachai S, Engen NW, Rhein J, et al. Baseline serum C-reactive protein level predicts mortality in cryptococcal meningitis. Open Forum Infect Dis 2020; 7: ofaa530.
- Temfack E, Rim JJB, Spijker R, et al. Cryptococcal antigen in serum and cerebrospinal fluid for detecting cryptococcal meningitis in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus: systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy studies. Clin Infect Dis 2021; 72:1268–78.
- Pilmis B, Bougnoux ME, Guery R, et al. Failure of multiplex meningitis/encephalitis (ME) NAT during cryptococcal meningitis in solid organ recipients. Transpl Infect Dis 2020; 22:e13263.

- Tansarli GS, Chapin KC. Diagnostic test accuracy of the BioFire* FilmArray* meningitis/encephalitis panel: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Microbiol Infect 2020; 26:281–90.
- Perfect JR, Dismukes WE, Dromer F, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of cryptococcal disease: 2010 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 2010; 50:291–322.
- Huang SH, Chuang YC, Lee YC, et al. Lumbar puncture for non-HIV-infected non-transplant patients with cryptococcosis: should it be mandatory for all? PLoS One 2019; 14:e0221657.
- Osawa R, Alexander BD, Lortholary O, et al. Identifying predictors of central nervous system disease in solid organ transplant recipients with cryptococcosis. Transplantation 2010; 89:69–74.
- Baddley JW, Chen SC, Huisingh C, et al. MSG07: an international cohort study comparing epidemiology and outcomes of patients with *Cryptococcus neoformans* or *Cryptococcus gattii* infections. Clin Infect Dis 2021; 73:1133–41.
- Chastain DB, Kung VM, Golpayegany S, et al. Cryptococcosis among hospitalised patients with COVID-19: a multicentre research network study. Mycoses 2022; 65:815–23.